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ABSTRACT
A new model was developed to actually describe the magnesium reduction process in a horizontal retort from the perspective of chemical reaction kinetics. The shrinking non-reacted core model was kinetically modified to describe the reduction process, and the time-dependant activation energy was calculated by fitting the experimental data. The magnesium reduction heat was calculated in a piecewise manner for different temperature intervals according to the physical reduction process. A simulation for an industrial horizontal solid cylinder retort by using the new model indicated that our model is feasible. The temperature in the retort and the performance of Mg reduction process in terms of the Mg reduction rate and the heat absorption power were predicted. The prediction shows that the temperature has an increase at the first 1~2 hours, and the Mg reduction rate and the heat absorption power have a rapid increase in this period. Additionally, the influence of the heating temperature on the performance is investigated.

NOMENCLATURE
- $c_p$: Specific heat capacity of the reactant
- $E$: Activation energy
- $k$: Kinetics reaction constant
- $k_0$: Proportional coefficient
- $M$: Maximum magnesium production per unit volume
- $r_0$: Radius of the retort
- $R$: Universal gas constant
- $S_r$: Reaction source term
- $T$: Reaction time
- $\rho$: Effective density
- $\lambda$: Effective thermal conductivity of the reactant
- $\alpha$: Reduction rate
- $\alpha_1$: Reduction rate of the reaction (10)
- $\alpha_2$: Reduction rate of the reaction (12)
- $\psi_1$: Thermal energy needed per unit mass of magnesium production in stage 2) when calculating the reaction heat
- $\psi_2$: Latent heat of magnesium vapor

INTRODUCTION
Magnesium (Mg) and its alloys will become the material of choice as designers strive to improve energy efficiency in transportation because of their favorable combination of tensile strength, elastic modulus, low density, high strength-to-weight ratios and high damping capacity. The world demand for magnesium has been increasing about 10% per year over the last ten years (Brown, 2009). China, the largest producer of primary magnesium in the world, supplies 40-50% of the demand for magnesium, but it is also plagued by serious energy and environmental issues. The calculated energy efficiency of the magnesium production process is ~12%, and the global warming impact is $\text{CO}_2$-eq/kg of Mg ingot (Ramakrishnan and Koltun, 2004). Development of a high-efficiency, economical, and low-pollution technology for magnesium production will be needed to meet the rapidly growing world demand and to reduce the emissions.

There are two major process routes for magnesium production in industry. One is to recover magnesium chloride from the raw materials and converts it to metal through molten salt electrolysis; the other is to reduce magnesium oxide at high temperature using reducing agents, such as ferrosilicon, aluminum or carbon. The Pidgeon process is a thermal reduction process, which produces magnesium from calcined dolomite under a vacuum pressure of ~10 Pa and at a temperature of 1150~1200°C using ferrosilicon as a reducing agent. A small quantity of calcium fluoride is added as a catalyst. The whole process is carried out in a refractory brick furnace which consists of a number of cylindrical retorts. The finely ground reactant compound (mixture of calcined dolomite, ferrosilicon and fluorspar) is charged into these retorts vacuumsed by the pump, and then sealed by covers and heated up. As the temperature of the reactant compound rises up, the magnesium reduction reaction occurs and the magnesium vapor generates about 4~10 hours later. The yielded magnesium vapor is transported from the reduction section to the crystalline section of the retort and then condenses in crystalline form on the water cooling jacket of the retort. Figure 1 shows schematically the structure of an industrial horizontal solid retort for magnesium production.


Figure 1: Schematic structure of horizontal solid retort

There are several experimental and numerical researches on magnesium reduction in the retort. Liu and Xu (1995)
analyzed in detail the heat transfer of briquette packed layer in the retort, and gave the effective thermal conductivity. Morsi et al. (2002) studied the effect of parameters, such as silicon stoichiometry, temperature, molar ratios of calcium oxide/magnesium oxide, etc, on the magnesium reduction reaction under an inert atmosphere, and obtained constant apparent activation energy for reduction. They offered useful thermophysical properties for development of the magnesium reduction models. Liang et al. (2006) studied temperature distribution in the retort only from the perspective of heat transfer without considering the Magnesium reduction reaction by simulation method. By taking the magnesium reduction reaction into account, Xie et al. (2006) assumed the magnesium reduction reaction heat to be a constant, and Yu et al. (2002) treated the reaction heat as a function of temperature, which was derived from the free energy of the magnesium reduction reaction. These simplified treatments were inadequate to simulate the magnesium reduction reaction process accurately, so it is necessary to develop a reliable physical model for simulating the process.

In this paper, a new model for the magnesium reduction was developed from the perspective of chemical reaction kinetics, which is very distinctive compared with the existing models. The shrinking non-reacted core model was employed and kinetically modified to describe the reduction process. The time-dependant activation energy was calculated by fitting the calculated magnesium reduction rate from the model with the experimental data. Finally, the magnesium reduction reaction heat was calculated as a piecewise manner for different temperature intervals according to the physical reduction process.

**PHYSICAL MODEL**

**Magnesium reduction reaction**

**Kinetically modified shrinking non-reacted core model**

The calcined dolomite is finely ground and mixed in a specific ratio with finely ground ferrosilicon. A small quantity of calcium fluoride is added into the mixture as a catalyst. The mixture or compound is then briquetted and conveyed to the retort for reduction. The overall reaction is described as follows:

\[
2(\text{MgO} \cdot \text{CaO})_{(s)} + (\text{Fe} \cdot \text{Si})_{(s)} \rightarrow 2\text{Mg}_{(g)} + (2\text{Ca} \cdot \text{SiO}_2)_{(s)} + \text{Fe}_{(s)}
\]

Experimental analysis demonstrates that the Mg reduction reaction is a gradual process advancing from exterior of the briquette to interior (Xiong, Zhou et al.,2005). At one time instant, the reduction reaction occurs only in a certain region of the briquette, and the outside of the region is fully reacted while the inside of the region is not reacted. Since the thickness of the region is far smaller than the radius of the briquette, the reaction can be considered to occur at the interface where is in a constant temperature, the shrinking non-reacted core model can be used to describe this process in the retort. The reaction model can be expressed as follows,

\[
\alpha = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{kTt}{3}\right)^3
\]

\[
\frac{d\alpha}{dt} = k(1 - \alpha)^{2/3}
\]

\[
k = k_0 \exp\left(-\frac{E}{RT}\right)
\]

As we know, the component and the temperature of the briquette will vary as the reduction reaction proceeds, resulting in different activation energy for different time. Therefore, the constant apparent activation energy given by Morsi et al.(2002) cannot characterize the Mg reduction reaction process accurately. In the present study, we calculate the activation energy by fitting the reduction rate \(\alpha\) with the experimental data. From Eqs. (2) and (4), we can see that the reduction rate \(\alpha\) is a function of the reaction time \(t\), the reaction temperature \(T\) and the activation energy \(E\), which can also be written as

\[
\alpha = \alpha(t, T, E)
\]

Consequently, the expression of the activation energy \(E\) can be derived and expressed as

\[
E = E(\alpha, t, T) = -RT \ln \frac{3\left(1 - \frac{1}{3} - \alpha\right)}{k_0t}
\]

It is not difficult to determine the activation energy \(E\) if we have the measured reduction rate of Mg at different times and temperatures. Fortunately, the experimental data can be obtained from Xu and Yuan (1991), some of their data is illustrated in Figure 2.

![Figure 2: Experimental data of reduction rate with the time and temperature](image)

From Eq. (5) we can see that the reduction rate \(\alpha\) is also a function of time \(t\) and temperature \(T\), and Eq. (6) can be further expressed as

\[
E = E(t, T)
\]

The calculated activation energy \(E\) at different times and temperatures is also illustrated with symbols in Figure 3. From the illustration, we can see that it will be a complicated equation if directly fitting the activation energy \(E\) as a function of the reaction time \(t\) and temperature \(T\). Therefore, two simplified treatments are applied to obtain an expression for \(E\),

1) The activation energy \(E\) at different temperatures but at the same time is linearly averaged because there is a small fluctuation of \(E\) shown in Figure 3, and thus Eq. (7) can be simplified as
Based on the above treatments, the equation of the averaged activation energy $E$ after fitting can be expressed as follows:

$$E = E(t)$$  \hfill (8)

2) The activation energy is unchanged when the time is beyond the experimental time.

Based on the above treatments, the equation of the averaged activation energy $E$ after fitting can be expressed as follows:

$$E = \begin{cases} 
66691.31 & t \leq 15 \text{ min} \\
64605.507 + 148.707t & 15 \text{ min} < t \leq 210 \text{ min} \\
79065.39 & t > 210 \text{ min}
\end{cases}$$  \hfill (9)

Consequently, the temperature in the retort will either increase or remain unchanged, depending on the rates of heat transfer and chemical reaction. According to the above three stages, the reaction heat is calculated in a piecewise manner, and can be expressed as

$$S_r = \begin{cases} 
0 & T < 1223K \\
-M\psi_1 \frac{dz_1}{dt} & 1223K \leq T < 1383K \\
-M\psi_1 + \psi_2 \frac{dz_2}{dt} & T \geq 1383K, 0 \leq t < \Delta t \\
-M\psi_1 + \psi_2 \frac{dz_2}{dt} & T \geq 1383K, t \geq \Delta t
\end{cases}$$  \hfill (13)

where $\Delta t$ is the reaction time required for converting the liquid magnesium into vapour in stage 3).

**Control equation**

Before establishing the transportation equation governing the Mg reduction, some assumptions are made.

1) Reactant compound filled into the retort is considered as solid, and the effective values of the thermophysical properties are adopted.

2) There is a large aspect ratio of height to diameter for the retort, so heat conduction in axial direction and heat radiation at the end of the retort are neglected.

Based on the above two assumptions, the magnesium reduction process in the retort is simplified as a two-dimensional, unsteady process with inner heat source, and the control equation can be expressed as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho c_p T) = \nabla \cdot (\lambda \nabla T) + S_r$$  \hfill (14)

and the effective thermophysical properties of compound are listed in Table 1.

**Boundary conditions and solution method**

Although the governing equation is given in the Cartesian coordinate system, for convenience, the boundary conditions is given in the polar coordinate system, and is expressed as,

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Density (kg·m⁻³)</td>
<td>1050–1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific heat capacity (J·kg⁻¹·K⁻¹)</td>
<td>1000–1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal conductivity (W·m⁻¹·K⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emissivity</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1:** Thermophysical properties of the reactant
In the above expression, $T_0$ is respectively 1423, 1448, 1473, and 1498 K for investigating the effect of the heating temperature on the performance of Mg reduction process.

Considering the axisymmetry of the geometry, a quarter of the whole geometry was considered as the computational domain, illustrated in Figure 1. The computational domain was discretized by structured grids, and the initial temperature is set to 300 K.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Comparison with the experimental data

The above numerical model was applied to the industrial horizontal retort shown in Figure 1, which was a 300mm diameter solid cylinder. The model results in terms of Mg reduction rate are illustrated in Figure 4 and is compared with the measurements (7-12 h) (Xu and Liu, 2006). The numerical results are about 8%-10% higher than the experimental data. The larger the reduction rate is, the larger is the deviation. Considering the model limitation for higher Mg reduction rate and the experimental uncertainties, the numerical results are acceptable and our model is reasonable and feasible.

Temperature distribution

For a qualitative and quantitative understanding of the heat transfer in the retort, some simulated temperature distributions of the retort are shown in Figure 5(a)-(c). We can see that, there is a large temperature gradient at the beginning. This gradient decreases with the time due to the continuous heat conduction from the outer wall of the retort (the heat source). Figure 5(b) shows the changes of temperature distribution along the radial direction of the retort with the time. The steep curves flatten with the time, indicating temperature distribution in the retort trends to be uniform. Additionally, differentiating from the temperature increment for the same time interval in Figure 5(b), it can be concluded that there is a quick increase of temperature at first and slow increase about 2 hours later. To explain this phenomenon, a comparison of temperature distribution for the Mg reduction process with that for a pure heat conduction process is shown in Figure 5(c). The names of curves are taken as time and time-ns (ns means no reaction) for short. Time represents the reaction/heating time and ns no reaction. During the first 30 minutes, the two curves at the
same time are almost coincident, indicating that the pure heat conduction is the dominant process, which results in a quick increase in temperature. However, 30 minutes later, there is an increasing larger deviation between the two curves at the same time, and the temperature distribution for the pure heat conduction is higher than that for Mg reduction. For the latter, the heat provided is required for Mg reduction reaction, resulting in a slow increase in temperature. It can also be inferred that the key point to improve the Mg reduction efficiency is to improve the heat conduction at this stage.

**Performance of magnesium reduction process**

Usually, the heating temperature on the wall of the retort placed in the furnace is not so steady and falls into a certain range. Therefore, the performance at various heating temperatures is evaluated. Figure 6 shows that the influence of the heating temperature on the performance of Mg reduction process, including the Mg reduction rate and heat absorption power. Here, the heat absorption power is defined as the ratio of the total reaction absorption power to the volume of the computational domain.

![Image](image.png)

**Figure 6**: Influence of heating temperature on the performance of magnesium reduction process

From Figure 6(a), we can see that there is a lower Mg reduction rate caused by low temperature in most regions of the retort at the beginning of heating. With increasing time, more and more reactant compounds begin to react, and the corresponding reduction rate increases quickly. After a certain period, the reduction rate increases slowly because of the decreasing heat transfer rate and the consumption of the reactant. The reduction rate approximates to 100% after about 10 hours. Comparison of the curves for different heating wall temperatures shows that although they all show the similar trend, the Mg reduction rate increases when the heating temperature increases at a given time. Different from the reduction rate, the heat absorption power increases sharply at the beginning, and reaches a maximum at about 2~3 hours, and then decreases rapidly. Firstly, there is a high temperature close to the outer cylindrical wall, and the compound at this region starts to react by receiving the heat. The reaction region gradually expands with increasing temperature, resulting in a rapid increase of the heat absorption power and a maximum at about 2 hours. After this, the reduction rate becomes the dominant factor to the heat transfer, and the heat absorption power decreases gradually but has a high value. As the reactant compound is consumed gradually, the heat absorption power decreases gradually. Especially, the peaks of the heat absorption power for different heating wall temperatures occur at almost the same time but increases with increasing wall temperatures. It is obvious that the heating temperature has a large influence on the performance of Mg reduction process.

**CONCLUSION**

A new model for the magnesium reduction process has been developed by kinetically modifying the shrinking non-reacted core model. The calculated activation energy was fitted to the experimental data and reaction heat in a piecewise manner and found to better characterize the magnesium reduction process. The new model is applied to an industrial horizontal solid cylindrical retort, and the prediction is in general agreement with measurements. The simulation results predict the temperature distribution in the retort and the performance of Mg reduction process in terms of Mg reduction rate and heat absorption power. During the first half hour, pure heat conduction is dominant, and the temperature of the reactant compound increases quickly resulting in a uniform temperature distribution. Both the Mg reduction rate increases and the heat absorption power increase in this period. 30 minutes later, the Mg reduction reaction becomes dominant, and the temperature increases slowly. However, the Mg reduction rate increases quickly, and the heat absorption power reaches maximum at about 2~3 hours and then decreases gradually. It is also predicted that the heating temperature has a large influence on the performance of Mg reduction process.
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